

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY, under RTI Act,
HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH, BENCH AT INDORE**

RTI Appeal No. 07/2025

Mr. Laxman Prasad Verma,Appellant
R/o. H.N. B-16, Chitrakoot Colony, Phase-2,
Near Mittal College, Behind Peoples Mall, Karod,
Bhopal (M.P.)
Mob. 94254-22803

Vs

Smt. Nirupama Shrivastava, Joint Registrar (M)/
State Public Information Officer, High Court of M.P.,
Bench at Indore Respondent

Order

(Delivered on 04 November 2025)

This appeal has been preferred u/s. 19 (1) of the RTI Act., 2005 by the appellant Mr. Laxman Prasad Verma, R/o. H.N. B-16, Chitrakoot Colony, Phase-2, Near Mittal College, Behind Peoples Mall, Karod, Bhopal (M.P.) being aggrieved by the order passed by the State Public Information Officer, High Court of M.P., Bench at Indore dated 22.09.2025 by which applicant's application is rejected.

Applicant had submitted an application under RTI Act before SPIO for providing information. Prayer made by the applicant before SPIO is as under:-

"1. सीनियर सिटीजन के प्रकरण में माननीय हाईकोर्ट के चार सप्ताह में जवाब दाखिल करने के आदेश के बावजूद रिस्पांडेंट्स 12 महीनों तक जवाब दाखिल नहीं करते हैं, तो "माननीय हाईकोर्ट खंडपीठ-इंदौर में प्रचलित एक्स पार्टी डिजीजन की रूलिंग्स की प्रमाणित फोटो प्रतियां उपलब्ध कराने की कृपा करें। सुलभ संदर्भ हेतु याचिका क्रमांक WP-29038/2024 (LAXMAN PRASAD



VERMA Vs. State of M.P. & Others) की पोर्टल पर उपलब्ध जानकारी का स्क्रीन शॉट संलग्न है।"

After receiving of application on 11.09.2025, SPIO has rejected/dismissed the application on 22.09.2025 mainly on the ground as mentioned below:-

"A. The information asked for cannot be supplied due to following reasons:-

(1) High Court of M.P. Rules may be perused.

(2) Information sought by you is query/question which does not fall within the purview of "information" as defined u/s 2 (f) of the Right to Information Act, 2005, SPIO is not supposed to answer the query/question.

(3) SPIO is not supposed to create, collect or collate any information nor is he obliged to provide clarification/interpretations/analysis to the information seeker."

In this appeal, heard applicant and SPIO. Applicant filed his written submission.

Perused the record of SPIO and submission of applicant. The prayer of applicant is vague itself. On asking about desired information applicant orally submitted that he wants to know that in what time the Hon'ble Court is bound to proceed ex-party without reply.

Actually, this is not an information, which can be available in written form. It is upon the Hon'ble Court to proceed ex-party as per their discretion. In W.P. No. 29038/2024 (*Laxman Prasad Verma Vs. State of MP & Others*), the Hon'ble Court has given time for reply through Order dated 11.09.2025 which is available on the official website i.e. www.mphc.gov.in.

So, I am also of the view that desired information is not actually come in the information as per purview of section 2 (f) of Right to Information Act.

A
4-11-25

Therefore, there is no illegality in rejecting of application by SPIO vide Order dated 22.09.2025. Consequently, appeal is dismissed.

Copy of this order be sent to Joint Registrar (M), High Court of M.P., Bench at Indore for necessary action and information. A copy of this order be also provided free of cost to the appellant/applicant and also to the SPIO for information and necessary action.

As per Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005, appellant/applicant may file an appeal to the Hon'ble Appellate Authority (State Information Commission, Bhopal) within 90 days of the issuance of this order.


(ANOOP KUMAR TRIPATHI)
APPELLATE AUTHORITY